

I would ask that the ExA consider a health hearing. It is clear that the developer is using very out-of-date information for any written representation on health. These developments surrounding 30 villages and 30,000 people are unprecedented in size and scale. Tillbridge Solar is unprecedented in size and scale, and it is time the public were treated with the respect they very much deserve.

If these projects are to be examined, they should be examined with information that is correct, and given that at the open floor hearing it is clear that already this development is significantly affecting people's health, then it should be incumbent on the inspectorate to hold a hearing on health.

Energy.

While the UK wishes to decarbonise, there is no demonstration that we need an energy that does not provide the country with the energy in our hours of need at night and in the cold, dark winter months. Could the developer please be asked to demonstrate how this development of size and scale will provide for the needs of the country when we most use electricity? Could they give statistics to the inspectorate of what percentage solar plays in the energy mix in providing the UK with the energy it needs at night and in the winter?

Could the examiner also ask the developer to demonstrate what other forms of renewable energy infrastructure could be used, how much electricity it produces when the country needs it most, and how much land it takes in comparison?

The developer in the OFH stated that they have looked at other sites for this project. Given brownfield sites, contaminated sites should be looked at first. Can the developer be asked to provide **all** the documentation to demonstrate their efforts?